Application No. Applicant(s) 10/543.027 LEGRAND, EMMANUEL Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit ALVIN J. GRANT 3723 All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) ALVIN J. GRANT. (2) DONALD HILL, Jr.. (4)____. Date of Interview: 17 December 2008. Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) Personal (copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If Yes, brief description: _____. Claim(s) discussed: . Identification of prior art discussed: US Patent No. 6.519.857 to Proulx. Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments; Applicant indicated that the Prior Art did not disclose or teach a backing wall that comprises a V-shaped profile in conjunction with a correspondingly shaped locking element that would enhance the effectiveness of the locking function. The Examiner indicated that this feature was not specifically disclosed in the Prilor Art but further consideration and search would be conducted... (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.